

Konsulko Group

Linux+Zephyr: IoT made easy

IoT Explodes Everywhere

- ❑ “Sensors and actuators embedded in physical objects and linked through wired and wireless networks, often using the same Internet Protocol (IP) that connects the Internet” - Definition by McKinsey.
- ❑ Sounds just like embedded stuff we’ve been doing for decades! So what changed?
- ❑ Now there’s a big market and the world is just ‘ready’.
- ❑ Unfortunately there’s no standard (and probably will not be one for many decades), fragmentation is running amok.

Linux IoT

- ❑ Around for many years and been doing IoT things with it before it had a cool name.
- ❑ All protocols have their reference implementation on Linux (AllJoyn, MQTT, Weave, XMPP, etc)
- ❑ Unfortunately Linux has gotten quite large
 - ❑ Minimum kernel for embedded target > 4MB compressed
 - ❑ Requires a few hundreds of GB of flash for a general purpose install.
- ❑ Not suitable for very small devices

Price is everything

- ❑ If we could run Linux on everything you wouldn't be in this presentation!
- ❑ Linux is secure (has years of scrutiny and professional security people go after every commit with a fine tooth comb).
- ❑ Linux has the full networking stack required.
- ❑ Linux has the I/O capabilities (every sensor/actuator driver)
- ❑ Unfortunately you can't run Linux on \$0.5 part.

Price is everything (cont)

- ❑ Run IoT on a \$0.5 part (ideally, we could do with \$1)
- ❑ This is the sweet-spot for many applications
 - ❑ Put a \$50 device off premises and get lost or stolen, you might get a bit upset -> end up not buying it.
 - ❑ Put a \$1 device off premises and get lost or stolen -> meh.
- ❑ Turns out that we need an OS for our cheap devices that is leaner than Linux.
- ❑ Many options!

RTOS selection

- ❑ A big selection of choices, the big contenders
 - ❑ FreeRTOS
 - ❑ mbedOS
 - ❑ NuttX
 - ❑ magenta (LK)
 - ❑ None
 - ❑ Zephyr

FreeRTOS

- ❑ Dual License - GPLv2 with linking exception or commercial
- ❑ Sparse API - the most RTOS of old of the most
- ❑ No community development for the core (separate)
- ❑ Preemptive thread model, optional MPU protection
- ❑ Networking is an add-on - no high level frameworks
- ❑ Suited for people moving on from bare metal

mbedOS

- ❑ Apache 2, 6LoWPan under permissive binary license (etc).
- ❑ New mbed 5 RTOS (CMSIS-RTOS - RTX)
- ❑ Community involvement minimal (ARM focused).
- ❑ MINAR event based API
- ❑ Complete standard support (two different IP stacks)
- ❑ Tied to the mbed OS cloud API
- ❑ Most easy to get started, but not very open source IMO.

NuttX

- ❑ BSD 3 clause
- ❑ Most Linux API of them all.
- ❑ Has a large community but 80% is Mr. Nutt himself
- ❑ Quite POSIX compatible - easier to port Linux stuff
- ❑ IP stack but not much else IoT related
- ❑ Larger than the other options, most Linux like.

magenta (part of fuchsia)

- ❑ MIT license
- ❑ Littlekernel - Used on Android bootloaders.
- ❑ Just introduced, community is dubious
- ❑ Limited priority number, standard primitives.
- ❑ Networking stack - couldn't figure this out :)
- ❑ Google project - significant infant mortality

None (is always an option)

- ❑ N/A
- ❑ N/A
- ❑ N/A
- ❑ N/A
- ❑ N/A
- ❑ Only for the hardcore

Zephyr

- ❑ Apache 2 (network stack Apache 2)
- ❑ Adequate RTOS API (and nano/micro option)
- ❑ Under Linux Foundation - true open source
- ❑ Networking stack - IP stack + IoT options (CoAP) + BLE
- ❑ Linux kconfig build system, feels right at home.
- ❑ Our selection.

IoT on Zephyr.

- ❑ CoAP, BLE, contiki + tinydtls
- ❑ Can be very small (smallest nanokernel example at 8K)
- ❑ Porting of IoT libraries possible
- ❑ You can accomplish quite a lot.
- ❑ What about Linux? Where does it fit in?

IoT on Zephyr (problems)

- ❑ Security - your IoT device has keys and passwords, how do you handle it being stolen by a malicious party?
- ❑ Convenience - How easy can you update the software on the devices? You might have dozen on your premises.
- ❑ Future Proofing - Not enough room in non-volatile storage for every IoT protocol. What happens if the company goes out of business? You have to change all the lightbulbs/security system/etc in such a case?
- ❑ Warring tribes - iPhone vs Android - it should work with my other devices too.

Solution: Linux Gateway

- ❑ Linux gateway and slave Zephyr IoT devices.
- ❑ Linux can run all IoT protocols (and with enough RAM at the same time)
- ❑ Future proof - Linux is easily updated, since point to do so.
- ❑ Software on the IoT devices? Should it be an IoT stack? Do we still need to update s/w on the IoT devices?

Intermission: Cheap IoT (1)

- ❑ Cheap MCU with on chip peripherals
 - ❑ ARM M core/PIC/ARM/x86/AVR
 - ❑ GPIOs
 - ❑ PWM
 - ❑ Serial
 - ❑ I2C
 - ❑ SPI
 - ❑ Networking (IEEE 802.15 or ZigBee or WiFi)...

Intermission: Cheap IoT (2)

- ❑ Analog glue
- ❑ Sensors on I2C/SPI bus
- ❑ Very price sensitive
- ❑ Speeds are usually low
- ❑ Power budget is small
- ❑ Less is more

What if there was no IoT (1)

- ❑ Linux has full I/O capabilities for IoT
- ❑ Problem is that the sensors are remote
- ❑ What if we got rid of the heavy weight IoT protocols?
- ❑ Zephyr devices are simply peripherals
- ❑ S/W load on Zephyr devices is the same for the same SoC no matter what different kind of sensor/IoT device it is
- ❑ Linux kernel interfaces mean all the IoT application are insulated from the underlying device details.

What if there was no IoT (2)

- ❑ Significant less attack surface with security problems:
 - ❑ The devices only contain enough keys to connect with the gateway and have no valuable information
 - ❑ There is much less software on the device
 - ❑ Both ends can be secured either with pre-programmed keys or using a smart-phone application on install time.
 - ❑ The devices are basically disposable.

Implementation (bare)

- ❑ Directly access the SoC resources
 - ❑ Registers
 - ❑ Busses
- ❑ + No software besides networking and configuration
- ❑ - Performance might be impacted
- ❑ - More power required (tradeoff)

Implementation (class drivers)

- ❑ Thin class layer
 - ❑ GPIO class for instance
 - ❑ Classes for every kind of peripheral
- ❑ - More S/W compared to barebones
- ❑ + Better performance
- ❑ + Less power required

Status

- ❑ Unfortunately no demo yet!
- ❑ Test bed is a beaglebone and an Intel Galileo
- ❑ Kernel driver provides remote GPIOs now, I2C, SPI to come
- ❑ Kernel drivers uses a user-space helper to bridge to the device over BLE.
- ❑ Pre-configured keys with DTLS
- ❑ WIP, hope to have a demo at ELC.

Thank you!

Konsulko
Group

Questions?